APPENDIX 2 - Possible Options:

1. To form a West of England consortium with Bristol as lead

	Strengths/Opportunities		Weaknesses/Threats
•	maintain ethos and culture of local authority adult learning	•	funding likely to be insufficient to sustain this model (due to overhead and support costs which would not reduce)
•	work would continue to be directed at the most excluded and disadvantaged	•	uncertainty of 'Social Enterprise' model and the effect this may have on costs for use of offices etc
•	retain control and local accountability through a consortium structure	•	some staff roles will be at risk
•	all partners would benefit from sharing expertise, quality systems and models of good practice (eg observation schemes)	•	time required to establish an effective consortium, whilst at the same time transferring staff to a 'social enterprise'
•	possible opportunities for income generation through collaborative bidding	•	robustness of sub-contracting process and implications if sub- contractors do not achieve targets
		•	perception of lead provider being in a dominant position; staff 'buy-in'

2. To transfer funding and some staff to City of Bath College

	Strengths/Opportunities		Weaknesses/Threats
•	cost effective option as all overheads (eg offices, support costs, Management Information Systems) would transfer to the College	•	may lose some local accountability
•	the College is developing a strategy for wider engagement of adult learners (ie from fully fee paying courses, to work with disadvantaged people) – Community Learning work would complement this	•	some staff roles will be at risk
•	local services would be secured as part of a large provider		
•	opportunities for improved learner progression to accredited learning (identified as a priority by Ofsted)		
•	more manageable option		
•	improved opportunities for staff development within a College environment		
•	improved opportunities for the College to develop informal adult learning work, particularly regarding Basic Skills		